

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/038,160		01/02/2002	Tomm V. Aldridge	42390P13048	7789	
8791	7590	03/19/2004		EXAMINER		
		OLOFF TAYLOR & BOULEVARD, SEVE	DEBERADINIS, ROBERT L			
		CA 90025	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2836			
				D. TT 141 H ED 02/10/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
			38,160	ALDRIDGE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exam	niner	Art Unit				
		1.1000	rt DeBeradinis	2836				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addr	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. 30) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply be e statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi e application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this como NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>02 January</i>	2002.					
·		2b)⊠ This action						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from						
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	2002 is/are: a)⊠ ction to the drawing the correction is re	(s) be held in abeyance. Sequired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have documents have of the priority doc nal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applic uments have been rece Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Sta	age			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/18/03, 11/12/03</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOSS 5,745,041 in view of GUDAT 6,646,851.

Regarding claims 1, 2.

MOSS discloses at least one power supply, the at least one power supply coupled to a power supply fan (figure 3) MOSS also teaches external cooling fans and internal power supply cooling fans and fan malfunctions cause overheating which cause PC failure MOSS also discloses redundant power supplies (column 1, lines 37-52).

MOSS does not disclose a first power source terminal coupled to the at least one power supply, a second power source terminal coupled to the at least one power supply, wherein the power supply fan is powered from a source external to the at least one power supply.

The Examiner takes official notice. The use of external power sources to supply backup power to a load and the use of terminals to inter-connect a load to a power source is well known to one having ordinary skill in the art. A power supply having multiple power outputs to feed different voltages to different parts of a system is also well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2836

GUDAT discloses an apparatus comprising at least one power supply (4), the at least one power supply coupled to a fan, a second power source coupled to the fan wherein the fan is powered from the second source (16) to supply a redundant source of power to the fan motor in the event the main power source fails.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the teachings of MOSS to include a second power source terminal coupled to the at least one power supply, wherein the power supply fan is powered from a source external to the at least one power supply. The motivation would be to supply backup power to the cooling fan to prevent a total failure of a power supply having multiple power outputs when the power output powering the cooling fan fails.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOSS 5,745,041 in view of GUDAT 6,646,851 in further view of FUNG US2002/0004913. Regarding claim 5.

MOSS in view of GUDAT discloses the apparatus of claim 1.

MOSS in view of GUDAT does not disclose a server.

FUNG discloses power supplies and modular fans to provide high reliability in server environment (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the apparatus of claim 6 to provide power and cooling to the server. The motivation would have been to provide a highly reliable cooling fan to maintain temperatures with the design limits of the electronic components in the server.

Art Unit: 2836

Claims 3, 4, 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOSS 5,745,041 in view of GUDAT 6,646,851 in further view of GIORGIO 5,963,887.

Regarding claims 3, 6.

MOSS in view of GUDAT discloses the apparatus of claim 1.

MOSS in view of GUDAT does not teach a fan speed controller coupled internally to the at least one power supply.

GIORGIO teaches a fan speed controller (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the apparatus of claim 1 to include a fan speed controller. The motivation would be to change the fan speed with changing load demands on the power supply to maintain a minimum fan speed necessary to sustain appropriate cooling levels to minimize the generation of noise due to the airflow.

Regarding claims 4, 7, 8.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO discloses the apparatus of claim 3.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO does not teach wherein the fan speed controller provides a voltage to the power supply fan upon the at least one power supply failing.

GUDAT teaches wherein the controller (10) provides a voltage to the fan upon the at least one power supply failing.

Art Unit: 2836

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to connect (18, speed control power input) to a source selection means.

The motivation would be to provide redundant power to the cooling fan upon the at least one power supply failing.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 11.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO discloses the apparatus of claim 6.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO does not teach a second fan speed controller coupled to the fan speed controller terminal.

The second fan speed controller is merely a duplication of parts.

GUDAT teaches redundant power source used in the event a first source fails.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the apparatus of claim 6 to include a second fan speed controller coupled to the fan speed controller terminal. The motivation would be to provide a redundant fan speed controller to control the cooling fan in the event the first fan speed controller failed.

Regarding claim 12.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO discloses the apparatus of claim 11.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO does not disclose wherein the first fan speed controller and the second fan speed controller provide a voltage to the supply fan simultaneously.

Art Unit: 2836

The Examiner takes official notice. It is well known in the art that power sources, for example, batteries simultaneously supply power to a load when they are connected in parallel, to supply a load that requires more power than a single battery can supply.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the apparatus of claim 11 and connect the first fan speed controller and the second fan speed controller in parallel to simultaneously supply power to the power supply fan. The motivation would be to power a power supply fan that requires more power than the first fan speed controller can supply.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOSS 5,745,041 in view of GUDAT 6,646,851 in further view of GIORGIO 5,963,887 and FUNG US2002/0004913.

Regarding claim 13.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO discloses the apparatus of claim 6.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO does not disclose wherein the external source is a server, the at least one power supply providing a portion of the power to the server.

FUNG discloses power supplies and modular fans to provide high reliability in server environment (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the apparatus of claim 6 to provide power and cooling to the

Art Unit: 2836

server. The motivation would have been to provide a highly reliable cooling fan to maintain temperatures with the design limits of the electronic components in the server.

Claims 14-20, 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOSS 5,745,041 in view of GUDAT 6,646,851 in further view of GIORGIO 5,963,887.

Regarding claims 14-20, 21-29.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORGIO disclose an apparatus comprising at least one power supply, the at least one power supply coupled to a power supply fan, a first fan speed controller, the first fan speed controller powered by the at least one power supply an external fan speed controller and wherein power to operate the power supply fan is switched to an external source upon the at least one power supply failing.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORGIO does not teach the power source terminals arranged to the switch the fan speed controllers and the power supplies to control the supplies to the fan controllers and fans.

The Examiner takes official notice. The arrangement of terminals and switches to switch from one power source to another is well known to one having ordinary skill in the art also to merely duplicate and arrange controllers to provide redundant controllers is also well understood.

It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to arrange switches to provide redundant power sources and speed

Application/Control Number: 10/038,160 Page 8

Art Unit: 2836

controllers to a cooling fan. The motivation to provide redundant power and redundant speed controllers to a cooling fan would be to protect the electronic components in the system in the event the power supply or the fan controller failed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert L.

DeBeradinis whose number is (571) 272-2049. The Examiner can normally be reached

Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (571) 272-2058. The Fax phone number for

this Group is (703) 872-9306.

RLD

MARCH 2, 2004

Robert Rasentin